

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/705,464 Examiner AARON W. CARTER	Applicant(s) TOOYAMA ET AL. <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Art Unit</th> <th></th> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 5px;">2624</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit		2624	
Art Unit						
2624						

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) AARON W. CARTER. (3) _____.

(2) Gang Luo (Reg. No. 50,559). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2009 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Potential 101 and "Product-by-Process" rejections of claims 13-16

Claims discussed:
Claims 13-16

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Aaron W Carter/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and the Applicant's representative discussed the potential rejection of claims 13-16 with regards to a 101 rejection based on the claims claiming non-functional descriptive material and the continued rejection of the claims as a "product-by-process". In the interest of expediting examination the Examiner suggested that the claims be cancelled by Examiners amendment and then the application would be ready for allowance however the Applicant's requested that the rejection be sent out instead..